

# Housing Allocations Policy Review

# Equalities Analysis Assessment

# Introduction

Public bodies such as local authorities are legally required to consider the three aims of the Public Sector Equality Duty (set out in the Equality Act 2010) and document their thinking as part of the process of decision making. The Act sets out that public bodies must have regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not share that characteristic;
- foster good relationships between those who share a protected characteristic and those who do not share that characteristic.

# **Background and Context**

Housing Allocations schemes are governed by legislation which requires housing authorities to determine and publish a lettings scheme setting out how it will prioritise applications for social housing. It is a requirement that certain groups are given "reasonable preference" within the policy. These groups include:

- People who are homeless within the meaning of Part 7 of the Housing Act 1996
- Those living in unsatisfactory housing, e.g. overcrowded or lacking amenities
- Those who need to move on medical grounds
- Those owed a duty under other relevant legislation such as a closing order on a property.

Allocations policies must give preference to these groups above others. There is no requirement to give an equal weighting to all of the reasonable preference categories

#### Identification of the aims/objectives

The proposed changes to the Allocations policy seek to achieve a number of ends. Many of the recommendations are clarifying the existing policy and formalising the approach that the borough is taking. Other recommendations are seeking to improve the process by providing tools to facilitate the letting process and through disincentives to behaviour that restricts the ability of the council to let property. The changes also seek to build on the policy to ensure that those most in-need are able to access the Housing Register.

The most significant change proposed is to change the local connection rule from two years to five years for new applicants as a way of managing demand.

#### Assessment of relevant data and research

The key data needed for this Equalities Assessment is the profile of service users. Much of this information is provided as part of a housing application and can be sourced from the in-house system. Analysis has been undertaken into the profile of applicants who are on the Housing Register as at November 2016. Where key data is not available this will be clearly stated alongside the action that will be taken to minimise any potential negative impact.

#### Analysis of Protected Characteristics

<u>Age</u>

# Age of the Primary Applicant on the Housing Waiting List as at November 2016

Age Band	Number on Waiting List	%
Under 20	68	1%
20-24	407	4%
25-39	3349	36%
40-59	4113	44%
60+	1492	16%
Total	9430	

#### London Borough of Lewisham – Census 2011

Age Band	Total	%
Under 20	70,058	25%
20-24	20,883	8%
25-39	79,338	29%
40-59	69,668	25%
60+	35,921	13%
Total	275,868	

# Key considerations/potential impacts:

44% of main applicants on the housing waiting list are aged between 40 and 59, and a further 36% are aged between 25 and 39. These age groups are over-represented when comparing the waiting list to the population of the borough, however this is reflective of the legislation governing the reasons why a household would be accepted on to the housing register.

The policy document recommends changes to the age criteria at which a household member would be classed as an adult from 18 years old to 21 years old. This would have an impact on the number of bedrooms that a household would be eligible for. Over 1,200 households on the register have an 18-21 year old included in their application and would be immediately impacted

by this change, although the number of households which would see a change in their bedroom entitlement is much smaller as this is dependent on the composition of the household. There are currently 347 households on the waiting list where there is at least one person aged 18-20 and where there is someone else of the same sex who is aged 10-17, which represents 3.7% of the housing register.

Whilst there may be a negative impact on 18-21 year olds as the proposal could mean that they were no longer entitled to their own bedroom, this would be mitigated by the increased likelihood of the household being able to successfully bid for a property due to the larger number of smaller properties available.

Clarification of the wording and timescales around households accepted through the Emergency Housing Panel is likely to have a positive impact on all, by clearly setting out the steps that will be taken to assist those prioritised through this method and to ensure that those approved through the housing panel are aware of the pressures on the housing register and the likely timeframes involved.

# <u>Disability</u>

Over 2,100 households recorded either the primary applicant or the joint applicant as having an impairment, representing 23% of the Housing Register.

Nature of Impairment	Number of Households	% of Register
Learning disability or Cognitive impairment	117	1%
Long-standing illness or health condition	606	6%
Physical impairment	456	5%
Sensory impairment	98	1%
Mental health condition	354	4%
Other	1171	13%

#### Number of Households on the waiting list in November 2016 and their disability

The above table captures the number of households where either the primary applicant or the joint applicant recorded that they have an impairment and the nature of this impairment. Over 500 households recorded more than multiple impairments.

# Key considerations/potential impacts:

The proposed changes include a provision that an additional bedroom can be recommended by the medical advisor on medical grounds. This is a clarification of existing policy and will result in no substantive change in the impact of the policy towards those with a disability.

Proposed changes to the bedroom standard might have an impact on aged those between 18 and 21 who have a disability and who would no longer be automatically entitled to a bedroom because of age. This is mitigated by the clarification of the policy with regards to the medical officer and their authority to permit an extra bedroom on medical grounds.

The inclusion of a 'Right to Move' quota as per the 2015 statutory guidance could have a positive impact on those with a disability. This will make it easier for applicants with a disability who are employed by an organisation to continue to work for them in the event that their employer re-locates to the borough. For example, where the employer has made adjustments to facilitate their ability to maintain their employment.

Clarification of the wording and timescales around households accepted through the Emergency Housing Panel is likely to have a positive impact on those with a disability, by clearly setting out the steps that will be taken to assist those prioritised through this method and to

ensure that those approved through the housing panel are aware of the pressures on the housing register and the likely timeframes involved.

Changes proposed to suspend applicants from the register where they refuse a number of properties could impact on those with a disability. To mitigate this, there should be clear warnings noting this policy change and officers should be clear and consistent in the application of this.

Individuals applying to be on the Housing Register are asked whether they consider themselves to be a disabled person and if so, what type of impairment they have. The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and any changes on those who consider themselves to be disabled and to take measures to mitigate any impact.

#### Gender reassignment

Over 90 applicants on the Housing Register recorded themselves as transgender, representing almost 1% of the register.

#### Key considerations/impacts:

The proposed changes to the bedroom standard may have an impact on those who have a different gender identity to that assigned at birth, as those aged between 18 and 20 will be required to share a bedroom with any other member of the household of the same sex who is aged 10 to 17. The service should ensure that any applications including transgender household member are allocated a number of bedrooms based on the application of the policy in accordance with the gender which the household member identifies as rather than the gender they were assigned at birth.

Individuals applying to be on the Housing Register are asked whether their gender identity is different to the gender they were assigned at birth. The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on those who are transgender.

#### Marriage and Civil Partnership

Whilst no specific marital status data is captured when an individual is applying to join the Housing Register, the application requests that the relationship between the primary applicant and household members is recorded for those present within the household.

1,210 household members were described as having a relationship of Husband or Wife with the main applicant, broken down as below.

# Number of Household members on the Housing Register that are husband or wife to the primary applicant

Relationship	Number of Household Members	
Husband	636	
Wife	574	

#### Key considerations/impacts:

The proposed changes to the bedroom standard may have an impact on household members who are married and not the main or joint applicant, as the marital status of those who are not the core applicants is not considered when determining the bedroom allocation of a household. To mitigate the potential impact of this the service should ensure that applications affected in this manner are assessed to ensure that the bedroom allocation is appropriate based on the

household composition and does not unduly impact on household members that are married or in a civil partnership.

The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on those who are married or in a civil partnership.

#### Maternity and Pregnancy

192 households on the Housing Register are recorded as having a pregnancy as at November 2016.

#### Key considerations/impacts:

The proposed changes will result in no disproportionate change in the impact of this policy on households with a pregnancy. The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on pregnant households.

#### <u>Race</u>

#### Ethnicity of the Primary Applicant for all Households on the Housing Register

Ethnicity	Primary Applicants	%
African	980	10%
Any other Asian background	125	1%
Any other Black/ African/ Caribbean background	190	2%
Any other ethnic group	176	2%
Any other mixed/ multiple ethnic background	74	1%
Any other White background	282	3%
Arab	17	0%
Bangladeshi	31	0%
Caribbean	915	10%
Chinese	68	1%
English/Welsh/Scottish/Northern Irish/British	1392	15%
Indian	25	0%
Irish	56	1%
Not disclosed	4894	52%
Pakistani	23	0%
White and Asian	11	0%
White and Black African	38	0%
White and Black Caribbean	133	1%
Total	9430	

#### London Borough of Lewisham – Census 2011

Ethnicity	Total	%
White	147,684	54%
Mixed	20,468	7%
Asian or Asian British	25,533	9%
Black or Black British	74,933	27%
Other Ethnic Groups	7,251	3%
Total	275,869	

# Key considerations/impacts:

For 52% of households that are on the Housing Register we do not have information as to their ethnicity, either due to this data not being part of the form at the time of application or due to non-disclosure by the applicant.

Of those who have provided a response there is a greater proportion of households from BME groups than in the borough as a whole. The proposed changes will result in no disproportionate change in the impact of this policy on households from a BME group. The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on applicants from BME groups.

Religion	Primary Applicants	%
Any other religion/belief	62	1%
Buddhist	36	0%
Christian (all denominations)	1596	17%
Hindu	22	0%
Jewish	1	0%
Muslim	316	3%
None	610	6%
Not disclosed	6747	72%
Prefer not to say	37	0%
Sikh	3	0%
Total	9430	

#### Religion or belief

#### London Borough of Lewisham – Census 2011

Religion	Total	%
Christian	145,580	53%
Buddhist	3,664	1%
Hindu	6,561	2%
Jewish	643	0%
Muslim	17,760	6%
Sikh	531	0%
Other Religion	1,478	1%
No religion	75,149	27%
Religion not stated	24,503	9%
Total	275,868	

# Key considerations/impacts:

For 72% of households that are on the Housing Register we do not have information as to their religion, either due to this data not being part of the form at the time of application or due to non-disclosure by the applicant

The proposed changes to the bedroom standard may have an impact on those who express a religion or belief and who are aged between 18 and 20 with another household member of the same sex aged 10 to 17. The reduction in bedroom allocation for those identifying has having a religion or belief could have an impact on their ability to freely express this.

The service should continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on applicants based on their religion or belief.

<u>Sex</u>

Sex	Primary Applicant	Joint Applicant
Female	6836	1278
Male	2471	1401

# Key considerations/impacts:

The vast majority of primary applicants are female, therefore any changes to the Allocations Scheme will have a larger impact on women than on men.

The majority of cases considered by the Emergency Housing Panel involve female applicants. However the proposed change is intended to provide further clarity around this process, in particular as regards timings. It will not change the workings of the panel nor the level of service received. Therefore this is likely to be a positive change.

# Sexual orientation

Sexual Orientation	Primary Applicant	Joint Applicant
Bisexual	15	2
Gay/Lesbian	11	2
Not disclosed	3633	1163
Other	10	0
Prefer not to say	53	12
Straight/Heterosexual	2903	624

# Key considerations/impacts:

A large number of applicants have not informed the service of their sexual orientation, so the data presented is unlikely to give a true picture of the current waiting list.

The service should take steps to improve the quality of the data held, and continue to monitor the impact of the policy and to take measures to mitigate the impact on applicants based on their sexual orientation.

# Overall assessment of impact on service users

The matrix on page 10 details the mitigating actions that will need to be taken relating to the proposed changes. In particular, officers will need to monitor the impact of changes where data held may be incomplete or not current.

Overall it is considered that the majority of changes will have a positive impact as they will either provide greater clarity to service users or will help to improve the efficiency of the allocation of properties, which is positive for all applicants. Otherwise, it is considered that the identified mitigating actions are reasonable and practicable.

# Action plan and timetable

Activity	Details	Timescale
Monitor the impact of policy changes	Ensure that the proposed changes do not have any equalities implications which have not already been accounted for and mitigated	Ongoing, every three months
Ensure staff have had recent training on equalities issues Ensure equality	Ensure that adequate training is made available to all officers and monitor completion of the training to ensure compliance Provide this equalities analysis to all staff within	Within 12 months Within four
analysis is cascaded to all staff	the service alongside the revised policy.	weeks of date revised policy is approved
Regular discussion of equalities issues at staff briefings	Ensure that a discussion of equalities monitoring and assessment of impact is a standing item for service meetings	At every team meeting

#### **Publication of Results**

The results of this EAA will be reported on the Council's web pages as part of wider equalities data reporting appropriate.

# Monitoring

The EAA Action plan and timeline for the proposed policy changes will be monitored through the project reporting structures.

Proposed change	Equalities Category	Assessment of impact	Actions/Mitigation
Change in Local Connection	All	<ul> <li>This change will only apply to new applicants, and the length of time they have lived in the borough is not directly linked to any protected characteristic.</li> <li>Making the local connection longer could make it more difficult for some applicants to demonstrate how long they have lived in the borough.</li> </ul>	Officers will need to make reasonable people to provide appropriate evidence
Bedroom standard	Age Gender reassignment Marriage/civil partnership Disability Religion or belief	This proposed change will mean that some people aged 18-20 will have to share a bedroom with another household member of the same sex aged 10-20. Under the current policy they would be given their own room.	Officers to ensure that appropriate act characteristics of a household or an ine account where these are affected by this impact on said characteristic.
Rent arrears	All	Households who are in rent arrears will no longer be prevented from bidding for a property, however they must clear their arrears before being accepted for a property. This change will provide clarity to	This will have a positive impact on all from bidding for properties if they are in to clear rent arrears upon successfully b The Housing Needs Group Service Mana authority to permit a move despite arrea
Right to Move	Disability	households on the register.New statutory guidance was introduced in March 2015 to introduce the 'Right to Move'.The intention behind this was to make it easier for social tenants to move if they need to for work reasons. The implications of the regulation is that local authorities are prevented from applying a local connection test that could disadvantage tenants who need to move across local authority boundaries for work related reasons.	This change will have a positive overall eligible for social housing to join the reg the locale to which their employment ha There will be particular benefit for those households to continue working for emp facilitate their continued employment.
Timescales clarification for Emergency Housing Panel cases	Disability Age Sex	It is proposed to change the wording of section 2.2.3 in relation to the 12 week period in which Housing Panel and Supported Housing Priority cases may bid for themselves. The change will reflect that this does not guarantee that an offer will be made or a bid will be successful in that time, and that the actual waiting time for a property may be longer dependent on availability and demand for properties.	This proposed change will have a positi and help to manage the expectations of practice and the actual operation of the
Clarification of temporary to permanent scheme	All	It is proposed to change the wording of section 3.4.5 of the policy. Currently this states that appropriate households in eligible properties may be signed up for permanent tenancies in those properties	The proposed change will have an impa that are available to let to the general ho lettings. However, this is mitigated by al more quickly and to facilitate a speedier accommodation.

e adjustments where necessary to support e of a local connection.
ction is taken to ensure that the protected ndividual household member are taken into his policy change in a way that might unduly
I households as they will not be prevented in rent arrears, and the requirement will be bidding for a property.
nager will still retain the delegated ears where necessary.
II impact in allowing households that are egister and access a quota of properties in nas moved.
se with a disability as this will allow those nployers that have made adjustments to
itive impact as it will provide more clarity of residents. This formalises existing e panel at present.

pact in reducing the number of properties housing register through Choice-Based allowing homeless applicants to settle lier transition to long-term, suitable

		after 12 months has elapsed. The changes would permit this to be done within 12 months where suitable.	
Facilitate chain lettings	AII	It is proposed to change the wording of section 3.4.6 of the policy. The proposed changes would allow properties that become available through Chain Lettings to be advertised for a specific purpose.	By enabling properties to be advertised been made available through a chain let groups. This will allow the service to res to respond to demand drivers as and wh
Applications suspension	Disability	There is currently no provision within the policy to limit the number of bids that a part 6 applicant can refuse, which can lead to a loss of revenue and unavailable stock. It is proposed that part 6 applicants who refuse 3 properties which they bid successfully for are suspended from bidding for 12 months to reduce loss of revenue and maximise the use of stock.	Officers to ensure that the process is cle warning system is in place to advise hou refusing a number of properties.
Medical assessments	Disability	This change formalises and clarifies the process whereby the medical officer may allocate an extra bedroom to a household where there is suitable medical grounds to do so, and only on this basis.	This change will have a positive impact a clarifies the grounds on which a househ

ed for a specific purpose when they have let, this will have a positive impact on all respond to the needs of its customers and when they occur.

clear to all users and that an appropriate nouseholds of the potential outcome of

ct as it formalises existing procedure and ehold may be eligible for an extra bedroom.